



Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

**GREEN SUPPLIER MODEL FOR COMPOSITE
MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY**

Mohd Rizal Bin Saedin

Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering

2017

**GREEN SUPPLIER MODEL FOR COMPOSITE
MANUFACTURING INDUSTRY**

MOHD RIZAL BIN SAEDIN

**A thesis submitted
in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science
in Manufacturing Engineering**

Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering

UNIVERSITI TEKNIKAL MALAYSIA MELAKA

2017

DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis entitled “Green Supplier Model For Composite Manufacturing Industry” is the result of my own research except as cited in the references. The thesis has not been accepted for any degree and is not concurrently submitted in candidature of any other degree.

Signature :

Name : MOHD RIZAL BIN SAEDIN

Date :

APPROVAL

I hereby declare that I have read this thesis and in my opinion this thesis is sufficient in terms of scope and quality for the award of Master of Science in Manufacturing Engineering.

Signature :

Supervisor Name : DR. WAN HASRULNIZZAM BIN WAN MAHMOOD

Date :

Signature :

Co- Supervisor Name : PROF. DATUK DR. MOHD RAZALI BIN MUHAMAD

Date :

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate my special acknowledgement to my beloved; father and mother also my wife Siti Hajar binti Abd Kadir, who has been giving constant support and encouragement during my study, spiritually and materially, particularly when the going got tough. To my beloved sons Anas Muhaimin and Atif Mustaqim as a source of inspiration and have made life more interesting and colourful.

ABSTRACT

Environmental management has evolved to include boundary-spanning activities in the supply chain of Malaysia industry. All of these activities, whether upstream with the suppliers or downstream with the customers, relates to green supply chain management. It has become a challenge to manufacturers towards sustainable environment. Therefore, emphasis on the environmental protection by the organisational stakeholder such as stockholders, customers, government, workers and communities has become important issues in environmental management for manufacturer. Hence, it is rising recognised that a strong and important relationships exist between manufacturer and the supplier to achieve the improved result in environment protection. However, there appears to be limitations on how these two entities, 'manufacturers' and 'supplier' are integrated within an identification and development of green concept to realize a goal of better environment. The objectives of the research are to investigate the requirement of a green supplier for manufacturing composite industry, to develop a green supplier model by using Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP) and Quality Function Deployment (QFD) tools, and to make recommendation for green supplier best practices in order to improve the performance of company towards green operation. The research consists of literature review followed by case study on four composites manufacturing companies. The second part of the research involves the development of green supplier model which developed to adapt the principles of QFD approach while AHP is used as decision making for analysis the requirement of criteria in green supplier model. There are 24 sub-green criteria, four purchasing components and 12 elements of success factor that have strong relationship between each factor that gives the better impact for the implementation of green supplier. Nevertheless, out of the 24 listed sub-green criteria, only ten of them have highest significant effect which can be divided into three main green criteria: environmental management; green competencies; and green performance. The environmental management consists of implementation of ISO 14001, employees training on environmental and government regulatory compliance. Meanwhile, environmental-friendly raw materials, recycling of materials, and green considerations in design were contributed to green competencies. For green performance; restrict and prohibit use of hazardous substances, reduce water waste disposal, remanufacturing/rebuild/reuse of product, and reduce solid waste are being selected as the critical measure. The four purchasing components consist of supplier selection and assessment, supplier performance criteria, supplier approval process and purchasing process been analysed as most important activities that influence green supplier. Besides, top management commitment, workers commitment, identification of customer needs/customer focus, and documentation of preferred suppliers and a system that been used were recognised as the highest relative importance elements for success factor. In addition, supplier practices and performance been identified as specific measures simultaneously in setting the operational strategy for business advantages and sustainable development. Green Supplier Model is able to explain and enhance the awareness of the significance of green practice in managing supplier with critical success factor that can ensure the effective implementation towards green operation.

ABSTRAK

Pengurusan alam sekitar telah berkembang untuk merangkumi aktiviti-sampadan yang melibatkan rantai bekalan industri di Malaysia. Semua aktiviti-aktiviti ini, sama ada bermula dengan pembekal atau berakhir dengan pelanggan, mempunyai perkaitan dengan pengurusan rantai bekalan hijau. Ia telah menjadi satu cabaran kepada pengeluar ke arah kesejahteraan alam sekitar. Penekanan terhadap perlindungan alam sekitar oleh pihak berkepentingan seperti pemegang saham, pelanggan, kerajaan, pekerja dan masyarakat telah menjadi isu penting dalam pengurusan alam sekitar bagi pengeluar. Ia semakin disedari bahawa hubungan yang kukuh dan penting wujud di antara pengeluar dan pembekal bagi mencapai keputusan yang lebih baik dalam perlindungan alam sekitar. Walau bagaimanapun, ia adalah terhad kepada bagaimana „pengeluar” dan „pembekal-dua entiti” disepadukan dalam mengenal pasti dan membangunkan konsep hijau untuk melaksanakan matlamat alam sekitar yang lebih baik. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menyiasat keperluan pembekal hijau bagi industri pembuatan komposit, untuk membangunkan satu model pembekal hijau dengan menggunakan kaedah “Analytical Hierarchy Process (AHP)” dan “Quality Function Deployment (QFD)”, dan untuk mencadangkan amalan terbaik bagi pembekal hijau bagi meningkatkan prestasi syarikat ke arah operasi hijau. Kajian ini melibatkan kajian lepas dan diikuti oleh kajian kes di empat buah syarikat pembuatan komposit. Bahagian kedua kajian ini melibatkan pembangunan model pembekal hijau yang dibangunkan bagi menyesuaikan prinsip-prinsip pendekatan QFD manakala AHP digunakan sebagai menandakan keputusan untuk menganalisis keperluan kriteria dalam model pembekal hijau. Terdapat 24 sub-kriteria hijau, empat komponen pembelian dan 12 elemen faktor kejayaan yang mempunyai hubungan kuat antara setiap faktor bagi memberikan kesan yang lebih baik untuk keberkesanan pelaksanaan pembekal hijau. Namun begitu, daripada 24 sub-kriteria hijau yang disenaraikan, hanya sepuluh daripada mereka mempunyai kesan yang tertinggi yang boleh dibahagikan kepada tiga kriteria hijau utama: pengurusan alam sekitar; kecekapan hijau; dan prestasi hijau. Pengurusan alam sekitar terdiri daripada pelaksanaan ISO 14001, latihan kakitangan mengenai pematuhan undang-undang kerajaan dan alam sekitar. Sementara itu, bahan-bahan mentah yang mesra alam, bahan-bahan kitar semula, dan pertimbangan hijau dalam reka bentuk telah menyumbang kepada kecekapan hijau. Untuk prestasi hijau; menyekat dan melarang penggunaan bahan berbahaya, mengurangkan pelupusan sisa air, pembuatan semula / membina semula / penggunaan semula produk, dan mengurangkan sisa pepejal telah dipilih sebagai langkah yang kritikal. Empat komponen pembelian terdiri daripada pemilihan pembekal dan penilaian, kriteria prestasi pembekal, proses kelulusan pembekal dan proses pembelian telah dianalisis sebagai aktiviti yang paling penting yang mempengaruhi pembekal hijau. Selain itu, komitmen pengurusan atasan, komitmen pekerja, mengenal pasti keperluan pelanggan / keutamaan pelanggan, dan dokumentasi pembekal pilihan dan sistem yang telah digunakan telah diiktiraf sebagai kepentingan relatif tertinggi bagi unsur-unsur faktor kejayaan. Di samping itu, amalan dan prestasi pembekal dikenal pasti sebagai langkah-langkah yang khusus sekaligus dalam menetapkan strategi operasi bagi kelebihan perniagaan dan pembangunan mampan. Model pembekal hijau mampu untuk menjelaskan dan meningkatkan kesedaran tentang kepentingan amalan hijau dalam pengurusan pembekal dengan faktor kejayaan kritikal yang boleh memastikan pelaksanaan yang berkesan ke arah operasi yang hijau.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In The Name of Allah, The Most Gracious, The Most Merciful

It is with the deepest sense of the Al-Mighty ALLAH that gives me the strength and ability to complete this project. All good aspirations, devotions and prayers are due to ALLAH whose blessing and guidance have helped me throughout the entire research.

I would like to acknowledge and express my sincere gratitude towards my supervisor Dr. Wan Hasrulnizzam bin Wan Mahmood and my co-supervisor Prof. Datuk Dr. Mohd Razali bin Muhamad for their concern, valuable time of consultations and advice, guidance and patience in supervising my research from the beginning until the completion of this research and to the other lecturers that give me guidance and moral support to complete this research.

Special thanks for Universiti Teknikal Malaysia Melaka under PJP Research Grant **PJP/2013/FKP(11A)/S01201** sponsorship and Ministry of Education, Malaysia for MyBrain for financial support during the study.

I also would like to acknowledge the invaluable contributions of the top management, managers and engineers from CTRM Sdn. Bhd., Brazen Composite Sdn. Bhd., ACM Sdn. Bhd., and DK Composite Sdn. Bhd. who had participated in the structured-interview and the industrial visits.

My colleagues in UTeM (Ilyana Abdullah, Mohd Abrar Abd Latif, Nurul Syamira Shafie, and Mohd Firdaus Ani) are dully thanks for support me and their honest comments or advices my research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
DECLARATION	
DEDICATION	
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
LIST OF SYMBOL	xv
 CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Questions	6
1.4 Research Objectives	7
1.5 Scope of the Research	7
1.7 Thesis Organisation	8
 2. LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Concept of Green Supplier	9
2.2 The need of Green Supplier	13
2.3 Green Supplier Criteria	15
2.4 Critical Success Factor in Implementation of GSM	23
2.5 Component of Purchasing	27
2.5.1 Supplier Assessment	27
2.5.2 Approved Supplier List	29
2.5.3 Supplier Performance	32
2.5.4 Supplier Scorecard	41
2.5.5 Integrated Supply Chain	46
2.6 Element in Green Supplier Related to the Supplier Parameter	53
2.7 Composite Manufacturing Industries	59
2.8 Negative impact of the composite materials to the environment focusing on composites industries	62
2.9 The Significance of Green Supplier in Composites Technology Manufacturing Industries	63
2.10 Method of Analysis	67
2.10.1 Analytical Hierarchy Process	67
2.10.2 Quality Function Deployment via Analytic Hierarchy Process in Green Supplier Development	69
2.10.3 Quality Function Deployment via Analytic Hierarchy Process in Green Supplier Development	72
2.11 Summary	78

3.	METHODOLOGY	79
3.1	Introduction	79
3.2	Research Design	79
3.2.1	Four Stages in Research Design	81
3.3	Data Collection	82
3.3.1	Primary Data	82
3.3.2	Secondary Data	84
3.4	Data analysis	85
3.4.1	Qualitative Data Analysis	85
3.5	Sample size and overview of selected composite manufacturers	86
3.6	Summary	87
4.	DATA COLLECTION AND DISCUSSION ON CASE STUDY	88
4.1	Introduction	
4.2	demographic analysis of respondents	88
4.3	Supplier Assessment Criteria	90
4.3.1	Company A	90
4.3.2	Company B	90
4.3.3	Company C	91
4.3.4	Company D	91
4.3.5	Finding of Study in Supplier Assessment Criteria	92
4.4	Supplier Performance Criteria	95
4.4.1	Company A	95
4.4.2	Company B	96
4.4.3	Company C	98
4.4.4	Company D	100
4.4.5	Finding of Study in Supplier Performance Criteria	103
4.5	Supplier Approval Process	107
4.5.1	Company A	107
4.5.2	Company B	110
4.5.3	Company C	112
4.5.4	Company D	114
4.5.5	Further Discussion on Supplier Approval Process	116
4.6	Purchasing Process	120
4.6.1	Company A	120
4.6.2	Company B	123
4.6.3	Company C	125
4.6.4	Company D	125
4.6.5	Further Discussion on Purchasing Process	127
4.7	Summary	130
5.	GREEN SUPPLIER MODEL	131
5.1	Introduction	131
5.1.1	Planning	132
5.1.2	Execution	133
5.1.3	Analysis	133
5.1.4	Result	133
5.2	Analysis of Analytical Hierarchy Process	134
5.2.1	Defining the Objective or Goals	134

5.2.2	Structure Elements in Criteria, Sub-criteria and Alternative	135
5.2.3	A Pair Wise Comparison, Weighting And Consistency Ratio	138
5.2.4	A Ranking	145
5.3	Analysis of the Quality Function Deployment approach in GSM	147
5.3.1	The Development of QFD	147
5.3.2	Criteria and Sub-Criteria for GSM (WHATs)	148
5.3.3	Element of Critical Success Factor in GSM (HOWs)	150
5.3.4	Relevancy between Purchasing Activities and Critical Success Factor	151
5.3.5	Relationship Matrix between WHATs and HOWs	154
5.3.6	Technical Important Rating	159
5.4	Model development	164
5.5	Model validation	166
5.6	Summary	175
6.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	176
6.1	Conclusion	176
6.2	Contribution of Research	178
6.2.1	Theoretical Contribution	178
6.2.2	Contribution to industries	179
6.3	Recommendation for Further Research	180
	REFERENCES	181
	APPENDIX A	205
	APPENDIX B	208
	APPENDIX C	222
	APPENDIX D1	228
	APPENDIX D2	234

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
2.1	Criteria and sub-criteria of GSM	20
2.2	Success factor in implementation of green supplier model	25
2.3	Composite Manufacturing Methods and waste stream	62
4.1	Supplier Assessment Criteria (Weightage, total=1)	93
4.2	Company 'A' Rating System	96
4.3	Supplier performance assessment of company B	97
4.4	Supplier performance scorecard for company C	99
4.5	The weighting and rating system for company C	99
4.6	Supplier Performance Rating	99
4.7	GP Rating Systems (Company D)	101
4.8	Rating System for Company D	103
5.1	The list of criteria and sub criteria of GSM assessment	135
5.2	Scale for Pair wise Comparison	138
5.3	Pair wise comparison matrix for each main criteria of GSM	139
5.4	The Value of T_i and W_i for each main criteria of GSM	139
5.5	Values of RI for different matrix sizes, n	141
5.6	Pair wise comparison matrix for GC sub-criteria	142
5.7	Standardized matrix for GC sub-Criteria	142
5.8	Synthesis of Priorities for GC sub-criteria	142
5.9	Pair wise comparison matrix for EMS sub-criteria	143
5.10	Standardized Matrix EMS sub-criteria	143
5.11	Synthesis of Priorities for EMS sub-criteria	143
5.12	Pair wise comparison matrix for GP sub-criteria	144
5.13	Standardized Matrix for GP sub-criteria	144
5.14	Synthesis of Priorities for EMS sub-criteria	145

5.15	The overall weightage and ranking for each sub-criterion	146
5.16	The list of customer requirement for GSM (WHATs room)	149
5.17	The list of element of critical success factor	150
5.18	Relevancy between Purchasing Activities and CSF	151
5.19	Relationship matrix between green competencies and element Of success factor	155
5.20	Relationship matrix between Environmental Management System and element of success factor	156
5.21	Relationship between Green Performance and element of success factor	158
5.22	The technical importance rating between WHATs and HOWs	161
5.23	Results interview for model validation	167

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE	TITLE	PAGE
1.1	Framework of GSCM process implementation	5
1.2	Thesis organisation and content summary	8
2.1	Process flow in measuring supplier performance	37
2.2	The pros and cons of three systems; categorical; weighted point; and cost-based performance in measuring supplier performance using scorecard	43
2.3	Process in development supplier scorecard	44
2.4	Supply chain flow	46
2.5	Baseline stage in achieving an integrated supply chain	49
2.6	Purchasing process	53
3.1	Process flow for research design	80
4.1	Research Respondent	89
4.2	Supplier Scorecard Criteria and Sub-Criteria for Measuring Supplier Performance	104
4.3	Process of ASL for Company A	106
4.4	Process of ASL for Company B	111
4.5	Process of ASL for Company C	113
4.6	Process of ASL for Company D	115
4.7	Basic Conceptual Design for Process of ASL	122
4.8	Flowchart for Purchase System in Company A	119
4.9	Flowchart for Purchase System in Company B	124
4.10	Flowchart for Purchase System in Company C	126
4.11	Basic Conceptual Design for Purchasing Process	127
5.1	AHP Process Analysis	134

5.2	The Categorised of Each Criteria, Sub-Criteria and Alternative in AHP	137
5.3	Four Room in Development of HOQ	148
5.4	Relevancy between purchasing components with element of critical success factor	153
5.5	RI for element of success factor in GSM	162
5.6	Ranking for Element of Success Factor in GSM	163
5.7	The highest overall weight for each main criterion in Green Practice analysis using AHP tool	164
5.8	Six most important element of success factor based on QFD analysis	165
5.9	Green Supplier Model	166

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX	TITLE	PAGE
A	Description and Definition for Criteria of Green Supplier Model	205
B	Quality Function Deployment and Analytical Hierarchy Process	208
C	Model validation survey form	222
D1	Model validation survey form for respondent A	228
D2	Model validation survey form for respondent B	234

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	-	Analytical Hierarchy Process
AI	-	Artificial Intelligence
ANP	-	Analytic Network Process
ASL	-	Approved Supplier List
AVL	-	Approved Vendor List
CCA	-	Customer Competitive Assessment
CIR	-	Customer Important Rating
DEA	-	Data Envelopment Analysis
DEA	-	Data Envelopment Analysis
DO	-	Delivery Order
ECC	-	Engineering CAD Centre
EMS	-	Environment Management System
FRP	-	Fibre Reinforced Polymer
GC	-	Green Competency
GDP	-	Gross Domestic Product
GDR	-	Goods Discrepancy Report
GP	-	Green Performance
GRF	-	Good Received Form
GRN	-	Good Received Note
GSCM	-	Green Supply Chain Management
GSM	-	Green Supply Model

HOQ	-	House of Quality
IBS	-	Industrial Business solution
IT	-	Information Technology
JV	-	Joint Venture
KPIs	-	Key Performance Indicator
KPIs	-	Key Performance Indicators
LAR	-	Lot Acceptance Rate
LAR	-	Lot Acceptance Rate
MPMgt	-	Material Purchasing Manager
NCR	-	Non Conforming Report
NPD	-	New Product Development
PEF	-	Periodical Evaluation Form
PO	-	Purchase Order
PR	-	Purchase Requisition
PRC	-	Percentage of Part Rejection Claim from Production
Pre-Q	-	Pre-Qualification
QC	-	Quality Control
QFD	-	Quality Function Deployment
QMS	-	Quality Management Sheet
RA	-	Respondent A
RB	-	Respondent B
R&D	-	Research and Development
RPN	-	Request Purchase Note
SC	-	Sub- Criteria
SCAR	-	Supplier Corrective Action Request
SCM	-	Supply Chain Management
SCOR	-	Supply Chain Operational Reference

SDP	-	Supplier Development Program
SDR	-	Service Discrepancy Report
SF	-	Success Factor
SPA	-	Supplier Performance Assessment
SPC	-	Statistical Process Control
SPD	-	Supplier Development Program
SPM	-	Supplier Performance Measure
SPM	-	Supplier Performance Measure
SQA	-	Supplier Quality Assurance
TCA	-	Technical Competitive Assessment
TCF	-	Technical Correlation Roof
TCR	-	Timely Communication and Responsiveness
TQM	-	Total Quality Management

LIST OF SYMBOLS

AW_i	-	Value of matrix times weightage
CI	-	Consistency Index
n	-	No. of matrix
RI	-	Random Index
T_i	-	Total of i Matrix
W_i	-	Weightage of Eigenvector
λ_{\max}	-	Eigenvalue
O	-	9
\square	-	3
Δ	-	1
T_j	-	Total of j matrix (j row)

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Study

Environmental protection has increasingly become important to business research and practice, over the past decades as a result of rapid depletion of natural resources and high pollution level. Thus, to protect the environment both proactive and reactive methods have been implemented (Lee et al., 2009). The program such as Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) has been practiced in order to conscious environmental protection (Sarkis, 1998). The purpose of GSCM is to reduce environmental pollution from upstream to downstream starting from raw materials into end user customers (Kuo et al., 2010).

The fundamental supply chain of manufacturing companies is the requirement to handle the flow of raw material starting from supplier through the value adding processes and ended with distribution channels to the customer. It is involved with planning, controlling and coordinating materials, parts and finished goods from suppliers to the customers, where this process is a connected series of activities in supply chain. The approach of GSCM has been applied to ensure the control flow of materials from suppliers emphasised on the awareness of environmental issues.

The factors such as leak and diminishing of raw material resources, environmental pollution increase and overflowing waste sites have driven the rising of GSCM awareness to manufacturer (Srivastava, 2007). In GSCM, supplier has become an important part in order to manage the good environmental protection. Thus, manufacturer must take an action to develop a Green Supplier Model (GSM). However, to choose an appropriate GSM becomes a crucial issue (Kuo et al., 2010). Every factor and criteria must be taken seriously in development of GSM to achieve the effectiveness.

Green supplier is defined as a vendor that provides and supply materials or products by monitoring and controlling their environmental performance (Hsu and Hu, 2009). Purchasing play an important role in development of GSM because of strong relevance between purchasing (buyer) and supplier (Humphreys et al., 2004; Liu et al., 2012) . As known that the Purchasing task is to buy a goods and service (supplier) for delivers maximum value to the company (Monczka et al. 2009; Lee at al. 2009). For successful implementation of green supplier in company, purchasing must be the main part of it. In order to create a GSM, the manufacturer must define the criteria of the supplier in line with the company objectives and goals. Four components of purchasing in GSM have been introduce to achieve the green supplier concept, which are supplier assessment and selection (Handfield et al., 2002; Bai and Sarkis, 2010), supplier performance (Gunasekaran et al., 2001; Hervani et al., 2005), Approved Supplier List (ASL) based on environmental criteria (Cousins et al., 2004) and purchasing process (Maignan et al., 2002).

Supplier assessment and selection have become a popular method to identify the green supplier model. Starting from 1960s early research from first publication can be traced back, and the researchers such as Weber et al. (1991) and Ghodsypour and O'Brien (1998) did a comprehensive review on the past research about supplier selection that

related to environmental protection (Lee et al., 2009). Supplier assessment has been defined as a tool to evaluate the early process of selecting the supplier based on company objectives and goals. Many techniques have been applied to determine the supplier assessment such as categorical method (Keskin et al., 2010), the weighted-point method (Dulmin and Mininno, 2003), and the matrix method (Xia and Wu, 2007).

The selection of right supplier in conducting the environmental resource can increase the efficiency of the environmental protection (Choy and Lee, 2002). The supplier performance measurement has been introduced in order to evaluate the overall performance of the selected supplier. Thus, to evaluate the supplier performance, the company must identify the criteria for the evaluation that in line with company objective and the individual strategic business unit's characteristics (Hervani et al., 2005). The set of criteria for measuring the supplier performance include supplier ability to supply high quality and responsibly sourced raw material, cost of raw material, delivery performance, service, supply turnover and inventory performance (Mahmood et al., 2011).

The approach of ASL is to identify and guides the purchasing department in purchase activities. It contain the list of approved supplier that has been determines and approved by higher authorities based on supplier assessment and supplier performance measure (Gencer and Gürpınar, 2007). In addition, the ASL must be always updated to ensure the effectiveness of this list and minimising the risk in sourcing and buying (Roy, 2003). The element of green supplier concept has been implemented to ASL system to ensure company can sustain the use of raw material resource effectively. Supplier approved procedures must be complied with by any department in the company, especially the purchasing department to ensure the company benefits and avoid loss in buying.

The purchasing section plays an important role as the starting point in this physical movement of material flow. The consideration on the environmental issues in purchasing process making it becomes more complicated process (Lee et al., 2009; Govindan et al., 2013). This is because green purchasing is not only considering the traditional criteria such as supplier's cost, quality, service and delivery but also must consider the element of supplier's environmental responsibility. The objectives of managing the purchasing process are to conduct the flow of purchase goods and service starting from identifying needs, locating and selecting suppliers, negotiating terms, following up to ensure supplier performance and updating ASL system.

In this research, GSM was developed based on these four components of purchasing stated; supplier assessment and selection, supplier performance measure, ASL, and purchasing process. Four composites manufacturing industries based in Malaysia were selected to explore these four components of purchasing for case study and collecting the data. The analysis of the data was used to define the best model for green supplier concept in Malaysia.

1.2 Problem Statement

Nowadays, Malaysia has made a progress in environmental management by implementing laws and strategies that focus on sustainable development and green issues such as 'Program MyHIJAU' to encourage industrial manufacturers and suppliers in offering green goods and services that are more competitive and environmental friendly (MTHPI, 2012). In spite of this notion, most composites manufacturers in Malaysia still do not recognise the need to apply the green concept in their supplier's selection (Oly Ndubisi et al., 2005). Most of them still use the basic of selecting the supplier base on general requirement such as quality, price, and service.